(ख) पिछले एक वर्ष के दौरान शारीरिक रूप से विकलांगों को 14 दुकानें उपराज्यपाल के स्वविवेकाधिकार कोटे से और 6 दुकानें शारीरिक रूप से विकलांगों के कोटे में से शारीरिक विकलांगों को आवंटित की गयी थी।

(ग) और (घ) 1-4-90 से 31-3-91 की अवधि के दौरान शारीरिक रूप से विकलांग व्यक्तियों के कुल 324 आवेदन पत्र प्राप्त किये गये थे। जांच करने पर 70 आवेदकों को पात्र पाया गया। जनवरी 1992 में होने वाले ड्रा द्वारा शारीरिक रूप से विकलांगों के कोटा के अन्तर्गत इन 70 पात्र आवेदकों में से 36 दुकानों का आवंटन किया जाएगा।

Demolition of *a* temple in Vasant Kunj, New Delhi

3484. SHRI GOPALSINH G. SOLANKI: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a Shiva-shakti Temple is under construction in Sector-A, Pocket C of Vasant Kunj, New Delhi;

(b) whether it is also a fact that the DDA propose to demolish the same;

(c) if so, what are the reasons there-for;

(d) whether the Residents Welfare Association of the Colony have unanimously passed a resolution in favour of construction of the Temple; and

(e) by when the land of the temple is likely to be handed over to the Ma nagement of the Temple?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) DDA has reported that an attempt to encroach its land for religious purpose has come to notice in Sector 'A' Pocket 'C of Vasant Kunj.

(b) Yes, Sir.

(c) No unauthorised encroachments/ constructions can be allowed on DDA's land.

(d) DDA has no knowledge of it.

(e) DDA has stated that a request from Vasant Kunj Residential Spiritual Society has been received for allotment of land for construction of a temple in Pocket 'C Sector 'A' Vasant Kunj. Society has been asked to fulfil basic requirements as envisaged in Rule 20 of Nazul Rules, 1981 Further action in the matter depends on the fulfilments of the basic requirements by the Society.

Allotment of Government accommo^atioii to Tamil Teachers of Delhi Municipal Corporation

3485. SHRI TINDIVANAM G. VEN KATRAMAN: Will the Minister of UR BAN DEVELOPMENTbe pleased to state:

(a) whether Tamil Teachers in Delhi Municipal corporation have been provided with Government accomodation;

(b) if so, whether there is any pro-vission for separate panel; and

(c) if not, what are the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI.M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) to (c) The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the Sabha.

Encroachments in Kalyanpur!, Delhi

3486. KUMARI SAYEEDA KHA TUN: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether, it is a fact that Government decided in August, 1991 to demolish unauthorised construction on public land including encroachments on road side and service lanes;

(b) whether it is also a feet that a number of kacha shops have .come lip on both side of the roid adjacent to the Central Market in kalyanpuri creating hazard for the moving public;

(c) if so, the steps taken by Government to demolish these unauthorised shops from the public land; and

(d) if not, what action has been taken or is proposed to be taken, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) to (d) Municipal Corporation of Delhi has reported that encroachment in the shape of Jhuggis and kacha shops etc. on roads and open spaces in Kal yanpuri is a continuing problem. M.C.D. taken action for removal action under the D.M.C. Act from time to time. In August,. 1991, Delhi Administration, had also emphasised the need for conserted efforts to remove such encroachment/ unauthorised construction from public roads and open spaces.

Amendment of Public Premises (Eviction) Act

3487. SHRI SANTOSH BAGRODIA: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government propose to amend the Public Premises (Eviction) Act to avoid inconvenience to the tenants;

(b) if so, the number of complaints received from tenants during the vast three years, yearw are and State wise; and

(c) in what manner Government propose to help such tenants to over come their difficulties?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) No, Sir.

(b) The Public Premises Act applies to the Occupants of the Premises belonging to the authorities failing under the undermentioned categories:—

1. Any Company as defined in Section! 3 of the Companies Act, 1956 in which hot less than 51 per cent of the paid up share capital is held by the Central Government or any Company which is subsidiary of the first mentioned Company; 677 RS—9.

2. Any Corporation established by or under a Central Act and owned or Controlled by the Central Govt;

3. Any University established or incorporated by any Central Act;

4. Any Institute incorporated by the Institute of Technology Act, 1963;

5. Any Board of Trustees Constituted under the Major Port Trust Act, 1963.

6. Bhakra Management Board constituted under section 79 of the Public Re-organisation[1] Act, 1966 (Bhakra Beas Management Board);

7. In relation to U.T. of Delhi;

(i) Any premises belonging to the Municipal Corporation of Delhi, or any Municipal Committee or notfied area Committee and;

(ii) any premises belonging to the Delhi Development Authority whether such premises are in the possession of or leased out by the said Authority.

The number of complaints received from tenants against these authorities Statewise are not available with the Government.

(c) Government is issuing guidelines to the Public Undertakings and Government Controlled Organisations *to* prevent arbitrary use of the provisions of the Public Premises Act.

Art and Housing Activities

3488. SHRI KRISHAN LAL SHARMA: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government are aware that mass housing in the country has cut off art from architecture;

(b) whether it is a fact that Delhi Urban Arts Commission had issued some guidelines to the various civic bodies in this regard; if so, details thereof;

(c) whether it is also a fact that these guidelines are not being followed; and

(d) if so, the names of civic bodies and other organisations connected with building activities who have by passed these guidelines?